## EDC 2013-2014 ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

## **Part 1: Background Information**

**B1. Program name:** [M.S. in Counseling with specializations in Career Counseling, Marriage and Family Therapy, and School Counseling]

**B2. Report author(s):** [Elisabeth Liles]

**B3. Fall 2013 enrollment:** [317]

**B4. Program type: [SELECT ONLY ONE]** 

٠.	Togram type: [BEEEET OTET OTE]		
		Undergraduate baccalaureate major	
		2. Credential	
	X	3. Master's degree	
		4. Doctorate: Ph.D./E.D.D.	
		5. Other, specify:	

Part 2: Six Questions for the 2013-2014 Annual Assessment

#### Question 1 (Q1): Program Learning Outcomes (PLO) Assessed in 2013-2014.

Q1.1. Which of the following program learning outcomes (PLOs) or Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals did you assess in 2013-2014? (See 2013-2014 Annual Assessment Report Guidelines for more details). [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

AFFLI			
	1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) *		
	2. Information literacy (WASC 2)		
	3. Written communication (WASC 3)		
	4. Oral communication (WASC 4)		
	5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)		
	6. Inquiry and analysis		
	7. Creative thinking		
	8. Reading		
	9. Team work		
	10. Problem solving		
X	11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global		
X	12. Intercultural knowledge and competency		
	13. Ethical reasoning		
	14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning		
	15. Global learning		
	16. Integrative and applied learning		
	17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge		
	18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline		
	19. Others. Specify any PLOs that were <b>assessed in 2013-2014 but not included above:</b>		
	a.		

<sup>\*</sup> One of the WASC's new requirements is that colleges and universities report on the level of student performance at graduation in five core areas: critical thinking, information literacy, written communication, oral communication, and quantitative literacy.

## Q1.1.1. Please provide more detailed information about the PLO(s) you checked above:

The Counselor Education graduate program has developed 12 program learning outcomes for the Career Counseling specialization, 11 program learning outcomes for the Marriage and Family Therapy specialization, and 15 program learning outcomes for the School Counseling specialization (see Appendix I for more details). This year, for the

Career Counseling specialization, we have assessed program learning outcomes 7 and 8 (**PLO 7** and **PLO 8**): civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency. Career Counseling specialization graduate students will:

- 1. Demonstrate knowledge and skill in providing career counseling to diverse populations.
- 2. Be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity towards persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).

For the Marriage and Family Therapy specialization this year, we have assessed program learning outcomes 3 and 7 (**PLO 3** and **PLO 7**): civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency. Marriage and Family Therapy (MFT) specialization graduate students will:

- 1. Demonstrate understanding and skills necessary to serve as change agents for families, agencies, institutions, and communities.
- 2. Be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity towards persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).

This year, for the School Counseling specialization, we have assessed program learning outcomes 13 and 14 (**PLO 13** and **PLO 14**): civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency. School Counseling specialization graduate students will:

- 1. Demonstrate the ability to advocate for students.
- 2. Be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity towards persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).
- Q1.2. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**Q1.3.** Is your program externally accredited (except for WASC)?

X	1. Yes
	2. No (If no, go to Q1.4)
	3. Don't know (Go to Q1.4)

Q1.3.1. If yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
•	3. Don't know

**Q1.4.** Have you used the *Degree Qualification Profile* (DQP)\* to develop your PLO(s)?

X	1. Yes	
	2. No, but I know what DQP is.	
	3. No. I don't know what DQP is.	
	4. Don't know	

<sup>\*</sup> Degree Qualifications Profile (DQP) – a framework funded by the Lumina Foundation that describes the kinds of learning and levels of performance that may be expected of students who have earned an associate, baccalaureate, or master's degree. Please see the links for more details:

 $\frac{http://www.luminafoundation.org/publications/The\_Degree\_Qualifications\_Profile.pdf}{http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/DQPNew.html}.$ 

#### Question 2 (Q2): Standards of Performance/Expectations for EACH PLO.

**Q2.1.** Has the program developed/adopted **EXPLICIT** standards of performance/expectations for the PLO(s) you assessed **in 2013-2014 Academic Year**? (For example: We expect 70% of our students to achieve at least a score of 3 on the Written Communication VALUE rubric.)

	1. Yes, we have developed standards/expectations for ALL PLOs assessed in 2013-14.		
	2. Yes, we have developed standards/expectations for <b>SOME</b> PLOs assessed in 2013-14.		
X	3. No ( <b>If no, go to Q2.2</b> )		
	4. Don't know (Go to Q2.2)		
	5. Not Applicable (Go to Q2.2)		

Q2.1.1. If yes, what are the desired levels of learning, including the criteria and standards of performance/expectations, especially at or near graduation, for EACH PLO assessed in 2013-2014 Academic Year? (For example: what will tell you if students have achieved your expected level of performance for the learning outcome.) Please provide the rubric and/or the expectations that you have developed for EACH PLO one at a time below. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS FOR EACH PLO]

## Q2.2. Have you published the PLO(s)/expectations/rubric(s) you assessed in 2013-2014?

X	1. Yes
	2. No ( <b>If no, go to Q3.1</b> )

#### Q2.2.1. If yes, where were the PLOs/expectations/rubrics published? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

•	1. In <b>SOME course syllabi/assignments</b> in the program that claim to	
	introduce/develop/master the PLO(s)	
	2. In <b>ALL course syllabi/assignments</b> in the program that claim to introduce /develop/master	
	the PLO(s)	
X	X 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook	
	4. In the university catalogue	
X	5. On the academic unit website or in the newsletters	
X	6. In the assessment or program review reports/plans/resources/activities	
	7. In the new course proposal forms in the department/college/university	
	8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents	
	9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents	
	10. In other places, specify:	

#### Question 3 (Q3): Data, Results, and Conclusions for EACH PLO

#### **Q3.1.** Was assessment data/evidence **collected** for 2013-2014?

The disposition during the disposition and the		
X	1. Yes	
	2. No (If no, go to Part 3: Additional Information)	
	3. Don't know (Go to Part 3)	
	4. Not Applicable (Go to Part 3)	

## **Q3.2.** If yes, was the data **scored/evaluated** for 2013-2014?

X	1. Yes
	2. No (If no, go to Part 3: Additional Information)
	3. Don't know (Go to Part 3)
	4. Not Applicable (Go to Part 3)

Q3.3. If yes, what DATA have you collected? What are the results, findings, and CONCLUSION(s) for EACH PLO assessed in 2013-2014? In what areas are students doing well and achieving the expectations? In what areas do students need improvement? Please provide a simple and clear summary of the key data and findings, including tables and graphs if applicable for EACH PLO one at a time. [WORD LIMIT: 600 WORDS FOR EACH PLO]

Data for the civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency of our graduate students from the Career Counseling specialization are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: The Results for Civic Knowledge and Engagement – Local and Global and Intercultural Knowledge and Competency for Career Counseling Graduate Students

Assessment	Fall 2013	Spring 2014
Counselor Trainee	No data available	N = 21
Evaluation		Mean Score = $4.9/7$ (N = 21; 100%)
Counselor Trainee	N = 1	N = 21
Evaluation	Mean Score = $6/7$ (N = 1; 100%)	Mean Score = $6.89/7$ (N = 19; 90%)
Counselor Trainee	No data available	No data available
Evaluation		
Counselor Trainee	No data available	No data available
Evaluation		
Student Exit Survey	N = 1	N = 12
	Mean Rating = $6/7$ (N = 1; 100%)	Mean Rating = $6.22/7$ (N = 9; 75%)

Data for the civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency of our graduate students from the Marriage and Family Therapy specialization are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: The Results for Civic Knowledge and Engagement – Local and Global and Intercultural Knowledge and Competency for Marriage and Family Therapy Graduate Students

Assessment	Fall 2013	Spring 2014
Counselor Trainee	No data available	N = 18
Evaluation		Mean Score = $6/7$ (N = 18; 100%)
Counselor Trainee	No data available	N = 6
Evaluation		Mean Score = $7/7$ (N = 6, 100%)
Counselor Trainee	N = 10	No data available
Evaluation	Mean Score = $6.7/7$ (N = $10$ ; $100\%$ )	
Counselor Trainee	N = 1	N = 37
Evaluation	Mean Score = $7/7$ (N = 1; 100%)	Mean Score = $6.7/7$ (N = 37; 100%)
Student Exit Survey	N = 6	N = 57
	Mean Rating = $6.33/7$ (N = $6$ ; 100%)	Mean Rating = $6.06/7$ (N = 49; 86%)

Data for the civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency of our graduate students from the School Counseling specialization are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: The Results for Civic Knowledge and Engagement – Local and Global and Intercultural Knowledge and Competency for School Counseling Graduate Students

Assessment	Fall 2013	Spring 2014
Counselor Trainee	N = 5	N = 27
Evaluation	Mean Score = $6.2/7$ (N = $5$ ; $100\%$ )	Mean Score = $5.72/7$ (N = $25$ ; 93%)
Counselor Trainee	N = 5	N = 27
Evaluation	Mean Score = $6.5/7$ (N = 2; 40%)	Mean Score = $6.22/7$ (N = 23; 85%)
Counselor Trainee	N = 32	N = 30
Evaluation	Mean Score = $5.82/7$ (N = $32$ ; $100\%$ )	Mean Score = $5.91/7$ (N = 30; 100%)
Counselor Trainee	N = 32	N = 30
Evaluation	Mean Score = $6.52/7$ (N = 21; 66%)	Mean Score = $6.44/7$ (N = 30; 100%)
Student Exit Survey	N = 6	N = 25
	Mean Rating = $5.83/7$ (N = $6$ ; 100%)	Mean Rating = $6.27/7$ (N = 22; 88%)

Data for the civic knowledge and engagement – local and global and intercultural knowledge and competency of our graduate students from all three specializations are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: The Results for Civic Knowledge and Engagement – Local and Global and Intercultural Knowledge and Competency for M.S. in Counseling Graduate Students

Assessment	Fall 2013	Spring 2014
<b>Counselor Preparation</b>	N = 13	N = 94
Comprehensive	Mean Score = $12.62/17$ (N = $13$ ; $100\%$ )	Mean Score = $10.99/17$ (N = $94$ ; $100\%$ )
Examination (CPCE)	National Mean = $10.84/17$ (N = $494$ )	National Mean = $10.43/17$ (N = $392$ )

The Counselor Trainee Evaluation measures 12 competencies: clinical evaluation, crisis management, treatment planning, rapport building, treatment, human diversity/cultural sensitivity, law, ethics, personal qualities, work performance, professionalism, and supervision (see Appendix II for more details). Students' skills in each competency are measured according to 7 criteria: Fails Standard (1-2), Needs Improvement (3-4), Meets Standard (5-6), and Exceeds Standard (7). The evaluation tool also includes a qualitative assessment in which supervisors provide comments regarding students' areas of strength, areas in need of further development, and a plan for development or remediation. All M.S. in Counseling students are assessed using the Counselor Trainee Evaluation between 6-8 times during their graduate program, beginning in their first practicum/fieldwork experience through their last semester (either sixth or seventh semester). This year, Competency 6: Human Diversity/Cultural Sensitivity was the focus of assessment for all three specializations. The Career Counseling graduate students met the standard (Score = 5-6) at all assessment points except for one group during the Spring 2014 semester which scored 4.9 (the high end of Needs Improvement). The Marriage and Family Therapy graduate students met the standard at all assessment points except for one group during the Fall 2013 semester and one group during the Spring 2014 semester, which both exceeded the standard (Score = 7). The School Counseling graduate students met the standard at all assessment points.

The Student Exit Survey is administered to students in all three specializations during their last semester in the program. The Student Exit Survey is conducted using Class Climate, and students' responses are anonymous. Data collected in the survey includes students' perceptions about their training in a variety of skills/areas, as well as student-faculty relations (see Appendix III for more details). Students rate each item using a 7-point Likert Scale (1= very inadequate training; 7 = excellent training). This year, the area of training focused on for assessment was Area 8.8: Counseling Diverse Populations. Students in all specializations rated their training in Area 8.8 from 5.83 to 6.33, indicating that they perceived their training to be adequate to very good.

The National Board for Certified Counselors (NBCC) developed and administers the Counselor Preparation Comprehensive Examination (CPCE), which is currently used by more than 260 graduate schools as a culminating experience requirement. The CPCE consists of 160 questions and assesses students' knowledge in the eight core content areas established by the NBCC and CACREP: Human Growth and Development, Social and Cultural Foundations, Helping Relationships, Group Work, Career and Lifestyle Development, Appraisal, Research and Program Evaluation, and Professional Orientation and Ethics. The exam includes 20 questions in each of the eight content areas; however, 3 of the 20 questions for each area are experimental. Therefore, the highest score for each section is 17. Students complete the CPCE as their culminating degree requirement during their last semester in the program. This year, the focus of assessment was Social and Cultural Foundations. The mean score for students in our program (including all three specializations) during both semesters was above the national mean.

**Q3.4.** Do students meet the expectations/standards of performance as determined by the program and achieved the learning outcomes?

**Q3.4.1.** First PLO: [Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global]

	1. Exceed expectation/standard
X	2. Meet expectation/standard
	3. Do not meet expectation/standard
	4. No expectation/standard set
	5. Don't know

Q3.4.2. Second PLO: [Intercultural knowledge and competency]

	1. Exceed expectation/standard
X	Meet expectation/standard
	3. Do not meet expectation/standard
	4. No expectation/standard set
	5. Don't know

## Question 4 (Q4): Evaluation of Data Quality: Reliability and Validity.

Q4.1. How many PLOs in total did your program assess in the 2013-2014 academic year? [2]

Q4.2. Please choose ONE ASSESSED PLO as an example to illustrate how you use direct, indirect, and/or other methods/measures to collect data. If you only assessed one PLO in 2013-14, YOU CAN SKIP this question. If you assessed MORE THAN ONE PLO, please check ONLY ONE PLO BELOW EVEN IF YOU ASSESSED MORE THAN ONE PLO IN 2013-2014.

	1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) <sup>1</sup>
	2. Information literacy (WASC 2)
	3. Written communication (WASC 3)
	4. Oral communication (WASC 4)
	5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)
	6. Inquiry and analysis
	7. Creative thinking
	8. Reading
	9. Team work
	10. Problem solving
	11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global
X	12. Intercultural knowledge and competency
	13. Ethical reasoning
	14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning
	15. Global learning
	16. Integrative and applied learning
	17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge
	18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline
	19. Other PLO. Specify:

#### **Direct Measures**

**Q4.3.** Were direct measures used to assess this PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No ( <b>If no, go to Q4.4</b> )
	3. Don't know ( <b>Go to Q4.4</b> )

Q4.3.1. Which of the following DIRECT measures were used? [Check all that apply]

	1. Capstone projects (including theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences
	2. Key assignments from other CORE classes
	3. Key assignments from other classes
	4. Classroom based performance assessments such as simulations, comprehensive exams,
	critiques
X	5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community based projects
	6. E-Portfolios
	7. Other portfolios
	8. Other measure. Specify:

# Q4.3.2. Please provide the direct measure(s) [key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s)] that you used to collect the data. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

See Appendices II and III for more details.

# **Q4.3.2.1.** Was the direct measure(s) [**key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s**)] aligned directly with the rubric/criterion?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.3. Was the direct measure (s) [key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s)] aligned directly with the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**Q4.3.4.** How was the evidence scored/evaluated? [Select one only]

•	110 W Was the evidence scored, evaluated. [Select one only]	
1. No rubric is used to interpret the evidence (If checked, go to Q4.3.7)		
		2. Use rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class
	X	3. Use rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty
		4. Use rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty
		5. Use other means. Specify:

**Q4.3.5.** What rubric/criterion was adopted to score/evaluate the above key assignments/projects/portfolio? [Select one only]

<u>-</u>	···/ J	
	1. The VALUE rubric(s)	
	2. Modified VALUE rubric(s)	
X	3. A rubric that is totally developed by local faculty	
	4. Use other means. Specify:	

#### **Q4.3.6.** Was the rubric/criterion aligned directly with the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**Q4.3.7.** Were the evaluators (e.g., faculty or advising board members) who reviewed student work calibrated to apply assessment criteria in the same way?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.8. Were there checks for inter-rater reliability?

	1. Yes
X	2. No
	3. Don't know

## **Q4.3.9.** Were the sample sizes for the direct measure adequate?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

# **Q4.3.10.** How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc)? Please briefly specify here:

We assess all students in the program. Missing data is due to supervisors not entering in scores.

#### **Indirect Measures**

**Q4.4.** Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No ( <b>If no, go to Q4.5</b> )

## **Q4.4.1.** Which of the following indirect measures were used?

	1. National student surveys (e.g., NSSE, etc.)
	2. University conducted student surveys (OIR surveys)
X	3. College/Department/program conducted student surveys
	4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews
	5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews
	6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews
	7. Others, specify:

## **Q4.4.2.** If surveys were used, were the sample sizes adequate?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

## Q4.4.3. If surveys were used, please briefly specify how you select your sample? What is the response rate?

All students are invited to complete the Student Exit Survey during their last semester in the program. During the Fall 2013 semester, the response rate was 100%. At the time of this report, the response rate for the Spring 2014 semester is 85%. This rate is expected to increase as the semester ends.

#### Other Measures

#### **Q4.5.** Were external benchmarking data used to assess the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No ( <b>If no, go to Q4.6</b> )

## **Q4.5.1.** Which of the following measures was used?

X	National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams
	2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc)
	3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc)
	4. Others, specify:

## **Q4.6.** Were other measures used to assess the PLO?

	1. Yes
X	2. No ( <b>Go to Q4.7</b> )
	3. Don't know ( <b>Go to Q4.7</b> )

<b>Q4.6.1.</b> If yes, please specify: [	
--	--

## **Alignment and Quality**

**Q4.7.** Please describe how you collected the data? For example, in what course(s) (or by what means) were data collected? How reliable and valid is the data? [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

The Counselor Training Evaluation was used to collect data in order to directly assess students' knowledge and skills in two required core courses offered in Fall 2013 and Spring 2014: Practicum in Counseling (EDC 475) and Field Study in Counseling (EDC 480). Students' university supervisors conducted the evaluations in EDC 475, and their onsite supervisors performed the evaluations in EDC 480. The university supervisor also reviews the onsite supervisors' evaluations. The Comprehensive Counselor Examination (CPCE) was used to provide external benchmarking data. This exam is administered in students' final semester during EDC 290: Master's Culminating Experience. The exam is scored by the Center for Credentialing & Education. The Student Exit Survey was used to collect data in order to indirectly assess students' based on their own perceptions of knowledge and skills. This survey is administered through Class Climate and students' responses are completely anonymous. Students receive the link to the survey while enrolled in EDC 290.

The Counselor Training Evaluation includes a specific rubric that provides supervisors with a guide for evaluating students' performance. This rubric was designed by the Marriage and Family Therapy Consortium in Northern California and adopted by the Counselor Education Program at CSUS. The CPCE is a national exam with extensive reliability and validity testing. The Student Exit Survey provides direct report data from students. Since all students are evaluated using these three assessments, the response rate provides reliable and valid data.

**Q4.8.** How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO? [3] **NOTE: IF IT IS ONLY ONE, GO TO 05.1.** 

**Q4.8.1.** Did the data (including all the assignments/projects/portfolios) from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**Q4.8.2.** Were **ALL** the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Question 5 (Q5): Use of Assessment Data.

Q5.1. To what extent have the assessment results from 2012-2013 been used for? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

QS.1. To what extent have the assessment results from	Very	Quite a	Some	Not at	Not
	Much	Bit		all	Applicable
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(9)
1. Improving specific courses			X		
2. Modifying curriculum			X		
3. Improving advising and mentoring			X		
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals				X	
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations				X	
6. Developing/updating assessment plan			X		
7. Annual assessment reports	X				
8. Program review			X		
9. Prospective student and family information				X	
10. Alumni communication				X	
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditation)		X			
12. Program accreditation	X				
13. External accountability reporting requirement					X
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations					X
15. Strategic planning				X	
16. Institutional benchmarking				X	

17. Academic policy development or modification		X	
18. Institutional Improvement		X	
19. Resource allocation and budgeting		X	
20. New faculty hiring	X		
21. Professional development for faculty and staff		X	
22. Other Specify:			

#### **Q5.1.1.** Please provide one or two best examples to show how you have used the assessment data above.

The Counselor Education Program used the assessment results from 2012-2013 to prepare both regional and national accreditation reports. These results were included in our program self-study submitted to the Council for the Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP), as well as our biennial report submitted to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CCTC).

**Q5.2.** As a result of the **assessment effort in 2013-2014** and based on the prior feedbacks from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of program learning outcomes)?

X	1. Yes
	2. No ( <b>If no, go to Q5.3</b> )
	3. Don't know ( <b>Go to Q5.3</b> )

Q5.2.1. What changes are anticipated? By what mechanism will the changes be implemented? How and when will you assess the impact of proposed modifications? [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

The most significant change anticipated will be to review our program learning outcomes in comparison to the VALUE rubrics and WASC core areas. Although the core areas are directed toward undergraduate programs, our program would like to accept the recommendation that they are useful in identifying, assessing, and reporting on key skills at the graduate level. Therefore, the program faculty will meet to review and discuss the core areas and work to more closely align our program learning outcomes with the five areas, while assuring that we still assess the skills specific to our graduate program.

Another important change anticipated will be working with supervisors to better ensure inter-rater reliability on the Counselor Training Evaluation. The Counselor Education program faculty meet with supervisors each semester. At this training, supervisors will receive explicit instructions for how to interpret and implement the Counselor Training Evaluation rubric.

**Q5.2.2.** Is there a follow-up assessment on these areas that need improvement?

	1. Yes
	2. No
X	3. Don't know

Q5.3. Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to program learning outcomes (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected assessment data in this way, please briefly report your results here. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

#### Question 6 (Q6). Which program learning outcome(s) do you plan to assess next year?

1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) <sup>1</sup>
2. Information literacy (WASC 2)
3. Written communication (WASC 3)
4. Oral communication (WASC 4)
5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)
6. Inquiry and analysis
7. Creative thinking

	8. Reading	
	9. Team work	
	10. Problem solving	
	11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global	
	12. Intercultural knowledge and competency	
X	13. Ethical reasoning	
	14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning	
	15. Global learning	
X	16. Integrative and applied learning	
	17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge	
	18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline	
	19. Others. Specify any PLOs that the program is going to <b>assess but not included above:</b>	
	a.	
	b.	
	c.	

**Part 3: Additional Information** 

A1. In which academic year did you develop the current assessment plan?

	1. Before 2007-2008
	2. 2007-2008
	3. 2008-2009
X	4. 2009-2010
	5. 2010-2011
	6. 2011-2012
	7. 2012-2013
	8. 2013-2014
	9. Have not yet <b>developed</b> a formal assessment plan

A2. In which academic year did you last update your assessment plan?

	1. Before 2007-2008
	2. 2007-2008
	3. 2008-2009
	4. 2009-2010
	5. 2010-2011
	6. 2011-2012
	7. 2012-2013
	8. 2013-2014
X	9. Have not yet <b>updated</b> the assessment plan

A3. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

A4. Has the program indicated explicitly where the assessment of student learning occurs in the curriculum?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**A5.** Does the program have any capstone class?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

**A5.1.** If yes, please list the course number for each capstone class: [EDC 290]

**A6.** Does the program have **ANY** capstone project?

	1. Yes
X	2. No
	3. Don't know

**A7.** Name of the academic unit: [Counselor Education]

**A8.** Department in which the academic unit is located: [Graduate and Professional Studies in Education – College of Education]

A9. Department Chair's Name: [Dr. Susan Heredia]

A10. Total number of annual assessment reports submitted by your academic unit for 2013-2014: [1]

A11. College in which the academic unit is located:

	the deddenine difft is focuted.
	1. Arts and Letters
	2. Business Administration
X	3. Education
	4. Engineering and Computer Science
	5. Health and Human Services
	6. Natural Science and Mathematics
	7. Social Sciences and Interdisciplinary Studies
	8. Continuing Education (CCE)
	9. Other, specify:

#### *Undergraduate Degree Program(s):*

Δ	12	Number	of under	raduate	degree	programs	the aca	demic ur	it hace	[0]

**A12.1.** List all the name(s): [\_\_\_\_\_]

A12.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program? [\_\_\_\_\_]

1.0	T)	T)	e 1	
Master	Degree	Program	S	۱:

A13. Number of Master's degree programs the academic unit has: [1]

**A13.1.** List all the name(s): [M.S. in Counseling]

A13.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master program? [3]

#### Credential Program(s):

A14. Number of credential degree programs the academic unit has: [1]

A14.1. List all the names: [PPS in School Counseling]

### Doctorate Program(s)

A15. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has: [0]

**A15.1.** List the name(s): [\_\_\_\_]

# A16. Would this assessment report apply to other program(s) and/or diploma concentration(s) in your academic unit\*?

X	1. Yes
	2. No

<sup>\*</sup>If the assessment conducted for this program (including the PLO(s), the criteria and standards of performance/expectations you established, the data you collected and analyzed, the conclusions of the assessment) is the same as the assessment conducted for other programs within the academic unit, you only need to submit one assessment report.

16 1	If ves	nlease spe	cify the nan	ne of each pro	oram: PPS in	School (	Counseling

16.2. If yes, please specify the name of each diploma concentration: N/A

#### Appendix I: Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) for the Counselor Education Program

Below are the Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) for the specialization in Career Counseling:

- 1. Students will demonstrate a theory base and knowledge of career counseling and development.
- 2. Students will demonstrate individual and group competencies essential for engaging in career counseling.
- 3. Students will demonstrate individual and group assessment skills related to career development.
- 4. Students will develop an awareness and understanding of the latest information and resources of career counseling.
- 5. Students will be able to develop, plan, implement, and manage comprehensive career development programs in a variety of settings.
- 6. Students will develop knowledge and skills working with individuals and organizations in the areas of coaching, consultation, and performance improvement to impact effectively upon the career counseling and development process.
- 7. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skills of the career counseling process to diverse populations.
- 8. Students will be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity toward persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).
- Students will possess the knowledge and skills needed to critically evaluate counselor performance, the maintenance and improvement of skills, and the ability to seek assistance from others when needed in career development.
- 10. Students will acquire an information base and knowledge of the ethical and legal practices of career counseling.
- 11. Students will possess the knowledge and skills in understanding and conducting research and evaluation in career counseling and development.
- 12. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skills in using technology to assist individuals with career planning.

Below are the PLOs for the specialization in Marriage and Family Therapy:

- 1. Students will be able to work effectively with individuals, families, and children.
- 2. Students will qualify for and meet professional licensure requirements.
- 3. Students will demonstrate the understanding and skills necessary to serve as change agents for families, agencies, institutions, and communities.
- 4. Students will possess a high degree of self-understanding.
- 5. Students will possess effective communication skills.
- 6. Students will engage in ethical and legal practice.
- 7. Students will be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity toward persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).
- 8. Students will possess the awareness of conceptual and pragmatic aspects of being a marriage, family, and child counselor.
- 9. Students will understand psychopathology, adaptive and maladaptive behavior, diagnosis, and treatment planning.
- Students will be able to assess, diagnose, and develop treatment plans and implement appropriate interventions.
- 11. Students will become competent practitioners in marriage, family, and child counseling.

Below are the PLOs for the specialization in School Counseling:

- 1. Students will be able to work effectively with teachers, administrators, school staff, parents, and community members.
- 2. Students will be able to work in collaboration with community agencies that serve children, youth, and families.
- 3. Students will demonstrate skills to work within the political realities of the school system.
- 4. Students will be qualified to be certified to counsel in k-12 public schools.

- 5. Students will be able to act as consultants in schools.
- 6. Students will possess understanding and skills related to the developmental counseling needs at the elementary, middle, and secondary school levels.
- 7. Students will demonstrate a high degree of self-understanding.
- 8. Students will demonstrate effective communication skills.
- 9. Students will possess assessment skills.
- 10. Students will demonstrate an awareness of the responsibilities of professional school counselors and thereby assist school personnel in the development and maintenance of quality instruction.
- 11. Students will engage in ethical and legal practice.
- 12. Students will be able to develop and implement comprehensive school counseling programs which incorporate the national standards for school counseling programs.
- 13. Students will be prepared to be student advocates.
- 14. Students will be able to work effectively with and demonstrate sensitivity toward persons from diverse populations (i.e., ethnic, cultural, gender, sexual orientation, class, age, disability, and spiritual/religious beliefs).
- 15. Students will be able to distinguish between adaptive and maladaptive behavior and make appropriate referrals.

## **Appendix II: Counselor Training Evaluation**

	Name	of Student		Specializati	ion		Dates of I	Placement
						From:		To:
			Fi	eld Study S	ite			
	Name of Field Site							
	Address							
	Type of Facility							
	On-Site Supervisor In	formation:Name/Position						
		se/Credential and Number						
	Licens	Phone/Email						
ļ		Phone/ Email						
How	Competency was Asse	essed. Check all that apply.			Competen	cy Expec	tations:	
0-0.5 1-1.5	5: Meets minimum stan	D. 🔲 Supervi	rther tra	ining	(For schoo	l use)		
	erience	opriate to current level of the	allillig al	iu				
	3: Exceeds performance						ails Standard" o	
		es that apply within each Co	for that Compand on a			ne "Comments" box		
and	rank student where ma	ajority of boxes are checked			101 1110 00		· · ·	
		COMPETEN	CY 1: As	sessment a	nd Evaluatio	n		
	Needs much guidance	Can identify presenting			good at ide			itly excels at
	entifying presenting	problems, patterns of	-		oblems, pat			resenting problems,
•	llems and effective tment interventions.	behavior, and effective treatment interventions w		•	and effective erventions.	2	-	ehavior, and effective
	Often misses	guidance. Sometimes			, assesses		treatment int	esses client/student
	tifying client/student	misses client/student	L			and	-	d coping skills.
ctroi	ngths. Rarely	strengths and needs to be	client/student strengths and coping skills. Generally		_	t/student's feelings		
	ects feelings and	reminded to identify such			denerali t/student's f			accurately and with
	ent accurately or	strengths. Does not			accurately ar	_	appropriate f	· —
	appropriate	always reflect feelings and			requency.	_		identifies themes and
	uency. Is unable	content accurately or with			ies and enlai		•	meaning for the
	ccurately identify	appropriate frequency.	1	•	the client/stu	_	client/studen	
then	nes and enlarge the	Needs help identifying the			y good at			ns and symptoms of
mea	ning for the	and enlarging the meaning	for id	entifying sig	gns and sym	ptoms	problematic f	eelings, thoughts,
clien	ıt/student.	the client/student. Ne	eds of	f problemat	ic feelings,		and/or behav	viors.
Nee	ds much guidance in	help identifying signs and	th	noughts, and	d/or behavio	rs.	Consisten	itly assesses the
	tifying signs and	symptoms of problematic			od at assessi	_		ts' needs in terms of
	ptoms of problematic	feelings, thoughts, and/or		-	ts' needs in			Effectively consults
	ngs, thoughts, and/or	behaviors. Needs help			General		_	nt people in the
	aviors.	assessing the client/studer			with signific		client/studen	ts' life.
	Needs much guidance	needs in terms of resource	1 -	-	client/stude	ents'		
	sessing the	Often needs help to	lif	e.				
	it/students' needs in ns of resources.	consult with significant peo in the client/students' life.	opie					
			-					

Does not consult with significant people in the client/students' life.			
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard
Comments:			
		: Crisis Management	
Is unable to identify risks and self-destructive behaviors and implement prevention techniques and identify appropriate intervention resources.  Is inadequate in identifying indicators of abuse, danger to self, or danger to others.  Sometimes disputes supervisor's identifications of such indicators.  Inadequate in issues dealing with trauma.  Completely relies upon supervisor to develop and implement a plan to reduce the potential for danger and to report these incidents.  Demonstrates no knowledge of crisis counseling principles and skills.	Needs some guidance to Identify risks and self-destructive behaviors and implement prevention techniques and identify appropriate intervention resources.  Sometimes misses indicators of abuse, danger to self, or danger to others, but understands these signs after discussion with supervisor.  Mostly relies upon supervisor to develop and implement a plan to reduce the potential for danger. Is uncertain in identifying and treating trauma. Feels less confident in reporting such crises and defers to supervisor to complete reporting requirements.  Demonstrates limited knowledge of crisis counseling principles and skills.	Generally good at Identifying risks and self-destructive behaviors and implements prevention techniques and identifies appropriate intervention resources. Generally good at observing and assessing for indicators of abuse, danger to self, or danger to others with support from supervisor. Helps in the development and implementation of a plan to reduce the potential for danger. Generally good at identifying and treating trauma with assistance from supervisor. Manages reporting requirements with assistance from supervisor. Demonstrates some knowledge of crisis counseling principles and skills, even if does not have the opportunity to implement these skills over the course of the semester.	Consistently identifies risks and self-destructive behaviors and implements prevention techniques and identifies appropriate intervention resources.  Consistently observes and assesses for indications of abuse, danger to self, or danger to others. Develops/ implements a plan to reduce the potential for danger with appropriate input from supervisor. Excellent at identifying and treating trauma. Manages reporting requirements appropriately. Demonstrates clear knowledge of crisis counseling principles and skills, even if does not have the opportunity to implement these skills over the course of the semester.
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard
Comments:			

	COMPETENCY 3: Treatment/Session Planning							
Inadequate knowledge of	Inconsistently	Generally demonstrates	Consistently demonstrates					
principles of therapeutically	demonstrates knowledge of	awareness of principles of	awareness of principles of					
appropriate theory.	principles of therapeutically	therapeutically appropriate	therapeutically appropriate					
Demonstrates very little o	1 —— ·	theory. Demonstrates	theory. Demonstrates strong					
no knowledge of professional	Demonstrates little	knowledge of professional	knowledge of professional					
literature related to	knowledge of professional	literature related to	literature related to					
client/student concerns/issue		client/student	client/student concerns/issues.					
Needs much help in	client/student	concerns/issues.	Identifies stages of					
identifying stages of	concerns/issues.	Acceptable identification of	counseling and sets mutually					
counseling. Imposes	Needs help in identifying	stages of counseling and	agreed upon, appropriate short-					
treatment goals without	stages of counseling and	mutually agreed upon,	and long-term goals for					
client/student input. Does		appropriate short- and long-	treatment. Recognizes the					
not understand the difference		term treatment goals.	need for referral and identifies					
between short- and long-term		Recognizes the need for referral—sometimes	appropriate services and					
treatment goals. Does not		needing guidance—for	resources.					
recognize the need for referra	·							
and is not aware of appropriat	resources.	appropriate services and resources.						
referrals.	resources.	resources.						
0 0.5	1 1.5	2 2.5	3					
Fails Standard	Needs	Meets Standard	Exceeds Standard					
Falls Stalldald	Improvement	ivieets Staildald	Exceeds Standard					
Comments:	improvement	<u> </u>						
		Y 4: Rapport Building						
Inadequate in	Often does not develop	Generally good at	Consistently					
developing empathy and	empathy.	developing empathy Is	demonstrates authentic					
sometimes is not aware of	Needs help in creating a safe	adequate in creating a safe	empathy. Creates a safe					
empathy's importance.	environment and understanding	environment and attempts to	environment by					
Does not create a safe	the problem from the	understand the problem from	understanding the problem					
environment Is	client/student's perspective.	the client/student's perspective						
unaware of how one's own	Does not always develop trust	Is adequate in developing	perspective.					
biases affect treatment	with clients/students and often	trust with clients but sometime						
outcomes Does not	imposes one's own biases.	needs to keep biases in check.	one's emotions and assesses					
spend adequate time	Is not always aware of one's	Is developing the ability to	for trust.					
establishing a therapeutic	emotions and imposes	control one's emotions.	Consistently follows the					
relationship. Does not	interventions without much	Sometimes implements	client/student's lead and					
demonstrate appropriate	regard to therapeutic working	interventions before trust is	spends time establishing a					
non-verbal attending skills.	alliance. Does not	fully developed Generally	therapeutic relationship.					
Does not foster specific	consistently demonstrate	demonstrates appropriate non-						
and concrete (rather than	appropriate non-verbal attending	verbal attending skills.	demonstrates appropriate					
general and abstract)	skills. Does not always foster	Generally fosters specific and	non-verbal attending skills.					
communication.	specific and concrete (rather than	concrete (rather than general	Fosters specific and					
Inadequate in fostering	general and abstract)	and abstract) communication.	concrete (rather than general					
immediacy in the counseling	communication. Needs help	Generally fosters immediac	y and abstract)					
session. Does not	to foster immediacy in the	in the counseling session.	communication.					

encourage the client/student as appropriate. Inadec in reflecting discrepant client/student communication.  Does not set limits appropriately.		moments to t/student leeds help to s in munication.	ent appropriate. Is beginning to reflect discrepancies in client/student communication Generally sets limits		Fosters immediacy in the counseling session.  Encourages the client/student as appropriate. Confidently reflects discrepancies in client/student communication.  Consistently sets limits appropriately.		
0 0.5 Fails Standard			2 2.5 Meets Standard		3 Exceeds Standard		
Comments:							
	COMPETENCY	Y 5: Treatment	/Therapeutic Intervention	S			
Unable to apply many therapeutic principles.	COMPETENCY 5: Tr  Limited knowledge of theoretically appropriate, evidence-based treatment, and client/student-specific clinical interventions.  Needs help in evaluating client/student's coping skills to determine timing of interventions.  Needs guidance in modifying the treatment process based upon therapeutic progress.  Needs help at case management-related issues.  Needs guidance in recognizing and addressing resistance.  Often moves either too slowly or too quickly for the client.  Needs help in identifying appropriate termination and transition from treatment.  Does not always return responsibility to client/student and encourage decision-making.		reatment/Therapeutic Interventions Generally good knowledge of theoretically appropriate, evidence-based treatment, and client/student-specific interventions. Is adequate at explaining treatments to clients/students. Good in evaluating client/student's coping skills to determine timing of interventions. Good in modifying the treatment process by monitoring therapeutic progress. Adequate at case management-related issues. Adequately recognizes and addresses resistance. Generally moves neither too slowly nor too quickly for the client/student. Good in developing a plan for termination with client/student to provide a transition from treatment. Routinely returns responsibility to client/student and encourages decision-making.		riate, evidence-based ent, and client/student-interventions. Excellent explaining interventions in idents/students can cand. Consistent in ing client/student's coping determine timing of intions. Consistent in ing the treatment process by ring therapeutic progress. case management-related explaining and effectively it case management in Moves too slowly nor too quickly client/student. Ent in developing a plan for intion with client/student to a transition from treatment. Etinely returns responsibility tystudent and encourages in-making.		
0 .5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement		2 2.5 Meets Standard		3 Exceeds Standard		
Comments:							

Unable to understand the importance of issues of diversity. Is unaware of	Needs help in identifying	n Diversity/Cultural Sensitivity	
elements of difference and how these differences may influence the counseling relationship. Does not address areas of difference with clients/students.	issues of diversity which impact the therapeutic environment. Sometimes is unable to disentangle one's own values from client/student's values, which sometimes interferes with treatment strategies. Needs help knowing how to address areas of difference with clients/students.	Generally good at identifying issues of diversity which impact the therapeutic environment. Is able to provide an unbiased therapeutic environment when client/student's values or beliefs are different from one's own views. Can apply treatment strategies consistent with client/student's values, beliefs, and/or worldviews. Generally good at addressing areas of difference with clients/students.	Consistently identifies issues of diversity that impact the therapeutic environment, including issues of gender, sexual orientation, culture, ethnicity, age, disability, and religious/faith/ spiritual beliefs on the therapeutic process. Consistently provides an unbiased therapeutic environment when client/student's values, beliefs, and/or worldviews are different from one's own views. Consistently applies treatment strategies consistent with client's values, beliefs, and/or worldviews. Consistently addresses areas of difference with clients/students.
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard
	COMME	TENCY 7: Low	
Poor understanding of	COMPETION Needs help in recognizing	TENCY 7: Law Adequately knowledgeable	Consistent knowledge of

		requirements.  Needs to be reminded of issues surrounding security of records and materials created in session.  Is not very knowledgeable of laws relevant to practice (e.g., HIPPA, FERPA, ADA, etc.).		Obtains consent to treatment from legal guardians when counseling minors (except when not necessary by law) with some assistance from supervisor. Maintains security of records and or materials created in session. Is developing knowledge of and follows law in practice (e.g., HIPPA, FERPA, ADA, etc.).	counseling minors (except when not necessary by law).  Maintains security of client/student records and/or artwork/etc. created in session.  Aware of and follows law in practice (e.g., HIPPA, FERPA, ADA, etc.).
0 ( Fails Standa	).5 rd	1 1.5 Needs Improvement		2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard
Comments:					
		CO	MPET	ENCY 8: Ethics	
Poor understanding of ethical issues relevant to this clinical setting. Does not adhere to ACA and/or ASCA Ethical Standards without supervisor guidance.	Needs help in recognizing ethical issues arising in this therapeutic setting. Needs reminders to inform clients/students of parameters of confidentiality and conditions of mandated reporting. Is not aware of one's scope of practice and attempts to treat all problems. Needs reminders of appropriate therapeutic		ethic thera infor para cond for guidal Some could procover with limit cons	Generally good knowledge of cal issues arising in this apeutic setting.  Is able to rm clients/students of meters of confidentiality and litions of mandated reporting. Waintains appropriate apeutic boundaries.  Is aware ne's scope of practice with some ance from supervisor.  etimes needs help in identifying onal reactions/ atertransference issues that d interfere with the therapeutic ess, but can easily correct sights in this area.  Together supervisor, identifies personal ations that require outside ultation. Generally adheres to ACA and/or A Ethical Standards, both in and of counseling sessions.	Demonstrates excellent knowledge of ethical issues arising in this therapeutic setting. Consistently informs clients/students of parameters of confidentiality and conditions of mandated reporting. Maintains appropriate therapeutic boundaries. Consistently stays within scope of practice. Consistently able to identify personal reactions/countertransference issues that could interfere with the therapeutic process, and identifies personal limitations that require outside consultation. Adheres to ACA and/or ASCA Ethical Standards, both in and out of counseling sessions.
0 0.5 1 1.5  Fails Standard Needs  Improvement  Comments:				2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard

COMPETENCY 9: Personal Qualities									
Has demonstrated lapses in integrity, initiative, flexibility, patience, insight, motivation, attitude, self-awareness, and personal presence. Has demonstrated lapses in oral and written communication skills. Does not show tolerance of stress and discomfort (of own feelings and client/student's). Does not demonstrate appropriate self-assurance, confidence, and trust in own ability.	■ Needs improvement in demonstrating integrity, initiative, flexibility, patience, insight, compassion, motivation, attitude, self-awareness, and personal presence. ■ Needs improvement in oral and written communication skills. ■ Needs improvement in tolerating stress and discomfort (of own feelings and client/student's). ■ Does not always demonstrate appropriate self-assurance, confidence, and trust in own ability.	Generally demonstrates integrity, initiative, flexibility, patience, insight, compassion, motivation, attitude, self-awareness, and personal presence. Generally demonstrates acceptable oral and written communication skills. Generally shows tolerance of stress and discomfort (of own feelings and client/student's). Generally demonstrates appropriate self-assurance, confidence, and trust in own ability.	Consistently demonstrates integrity, initiative, flexibility, patience, insight, compassion, motivation, attitude, selfawareness, and personal presence. Consistently demonstrates good oral and written communication skills. Consistently shows tolerance of stress and discomfort (of own feelings and client/student's). Consistently demonstrates appropriate self-assurance, confidence, and trust in own ability.						
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard						
	COMPETENCY 10	: Work Performance							
Does not demonstrate professional work performance.	Consistent maintenance of timely and orderly paperwork, and adherence to field site policies.								
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard						
Comments:									
	COMPETENCY 1	11: Professionalism							
Does not demonstrate professionalism in the work setting.	Needs improvement in punctuality, responsibility, and relationship with professional colleagues. Needs improvement with respect to appearance in counseling settings. Has limited involvement much with the field site or its needs. Is not very aware of the need for self-care.	Acceptable demonstration of punctuality, responsibility, and relationship with professional colleagues.  Appearance is appropriate to counseling setting.  Acceptable involvement with the field site. Is developing the understanding of the importance of self-care.	Consistently demonstrates punctuality, responsibility, and relationship with professional colleagues. Consistently demonstrates proper appearance appropriate to counseling setting. Understands and is appropriately involved with the field site and the field site's needs. Has the ability to understand the need for self-						

			care as it relates to effective clinical practice.						
0 0.5 1 1.5 Fails Standard Needs Improvement		2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard						
Comments:									
	COMPETENC	Y 12: Supervision							
Resistant to supervision and does not make improvements after repeated input from supervisor. Does not accurately self-assess.	Needs to make better use of supervision. Does not always come prepared to discuss cases or issues of concern. Has difficulty in presenting full case conceptualizations. Is somewhat resistant to supervisory input, and sometimes openly argues with supervisor's observations and/or suggestions. Does not always accurately selfassess. Does not always take appropriate steps toward increased education, consultation, referral.	Does not always seek supervision when needed, preferring to wait until regularly scheduled supervisory sessions. Comes prepared to supervision sessions, but sometimes needs prompting by supervisor to share concerns. Is generally good at presenting full case conceptualizations but sometimes leaves relevant details out of presentation. Is generally open to supervision and makes improvements when needed. Accurately self-assesses. Takes appropriate steps toward increased education, consultation, referral.	Seeks supervision when needed, comes prepared for supervision sessions, and openly shares concerns and ideas with supervisor. Can present full case conceptualizations. Consistently demonstrates openness to feedback and uses supervisory suggestions to make improvements when needed. Accurately self-assesses. Takes appropriate steps toward increased education, consultation, referral.						
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard						
Comments:	·								
Overall Assessment									
0 05	1 1 5	2 25	2						
0 0.5 Fails Standard	1 1.5 Needs Improvement	2 2.5 Meets Standard	3 Exceeds Standard						
Comments:									

Areas of Strength:	
Areas in Need of Further Development:	
Plans for Development or Remediation:	
University Supervisor's Comments (Optional):	
Is the student at risk at this time of not satisfactorily completing his/her Field Study hours/units at your	site?
Yes No	
If yes, please explain here:	
Student Signature:	Date:
Site Supervisor Signature:	Date:
University Supervisor Signature:	Date:

	Demog	graphics							
	A. De	gree or Credential earned at Sacramento State University:							
		Master of Science in Counseling Degree: Year (YYYY):							
		a. Please check the specialization(s) you earned along with your degree: Career CounselingSchool Counseling Marriage and Family Therapy							
		2. Pupil Personnel Services Credential, School Counseling: Year (YYYY): _							
	B.	Degree earned at <u>another</u> institution:							
		1. Master of Science in							
	Current	Employment							
	A.	Status: Full time Part time (# of hours worked =) Unemployed Retired							
	В.	Please list your job title and describe your position:							
	C.	Whichone of the following best describes your current primary place of employment?							
		1. Community Counseling Agency 8. General Hospital							
		2. Elementary or Secondary School 9. Psychiatric Hospital							
		3. College/University Counseling Ctr. 10. Other Inpatient Facility 4. College/University Faculty 11. Criminal Justice							
		5. Community/Junior College 12. Outpatient Clinic							
		6. Veteran's Hospital 13. Independent Practice							
		7. HMO 14. Other (please specify)							
D.	If you this po	have obtained employment in a job related to your degree, please indicate how you heard about osition. Please check all that apply:							
		☐ 1. Personal contact ☐ 4. Announcement forwarded by department							
		☐ 2. Professional journal advertisement ☐ 5. Other (please specify)							
		3. Professional contact through practicum							
	cramen	are NOT presently employed in a professional position related to the degree you earned at to State University, please explain (e.g., currently seeking a relevant position; personal or choice; landed more desirable job outside of the profession, etc.):							
_									

		1.Individual counseling	7.Re	esearch/scholarly writing
		2.Group counseling		eaching
		3.Supervision		Iministration
		4.Couples/family counseling		Report writing
		5.Consultation 6.Diagnosis/Assessment		School-based meetings Other (please specify)
		o.Diagnosis/Assessment	12.	Other (please specify)
III.	Membe	ership in Professional Organizations (plea	ase ch	heck all that apply)
	<u></u> 1.	American Counseling Association (AC Please specify ACA division members		5. California Career Development Association (CCDA)
		American Association for Marriage and V Therapy (AAMFT)	d	6. California Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (CAMFT)
		American School Counselor Association (ASCA)	on	7. Other (please specify)
	<u> </u>	California Association for Counseling Development (CACD)	and	
IV.	License	es, Credentials, and Certifications (please	check	k all that apply)
	<u> </u>	Nationally Certified Counselor (NCC) Year (YYYY):		4. California Pupil Personnel Services
	$\square_2$ .	Licensed Marriage and Family Therap	nist	Credential  5. Other (please list)
		T) Year (YYYY):	7131	State:Year (YYYY):
		Licensed Professional Clinical Couns	selor	
	State	: Year (YYYY):		
V.	Achiev	rements, Leadership, Honors, and Service		
	The list	t below contains some of the leadershi received related to the degree you earn add any other professional accomplish	p posi led. <u>P</u> hment	sitions, honors, and recognitions that you may Please check all that apply, and, in the space atts or honors that you have received:
	□ 1.	Member in Chi Sigma Iota		
	□ 2.	Leadership in professional organizati	ions (p	(please specify):
	□3.	Scholarships (please specify):		
	<b>□</b> 4.	Other (please specify):		
	<del>_</del>			
VI.	Schola	rly Work		
	The list work.	below contains items related to your re Please circle the number that represents	esearc s your	rch, publications, and other scholarly accomplishments.
	1. Na	ational conference presentations	0 1	1 2 3 4 5 6 to 10 11 or more
	2. Ot	her conference presentations	0 1	
		efereed publications on-refereed publications	0 1 0 1	1 2 3 4 5 6 to 10 11 or more 1 2 3 4 5 6 to 10 11 or more
	4. NO 5. Ot	on-refereed publications her publications or presentations (plea		
		r		<u>,                                      </u>

F. Please estimate the <u>number</u> of hours per week you spend in each of the following activities:

## VII. Financial Support

- A. Did you receive financial support during your college/certification program at Sacramento State University? Yes No
- B. If <u>ves</u>, what were your sources of support?

C. Please put a check beside the words that best describe the extent to which you felt financially supported by your department

1. Completely unsupported	4. Strongly supported
2. Somewhat unsupported	5. Very strongly supported
3. Somewhat supported	

## VIII. Training

Listed below are major areas of training in the curricula. Using the scale below, please circle the number that best represents your judgment of the preparation you received in the Counseling Program at Sacramento State University (including courses, practicum, and other school experiences). (Circle N/A if the area of training is not relevant to your career/curriculum.)

		Very inadequ ate						Excelle nt	
1. Individua	al counseling	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
2. Group c	ounseling	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
3. Marriage	e counseling	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
4. Family the	herapy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
5. Career of	counseling	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	oathology	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	s and research design	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
8. Counsel	ing diverse populations	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
9. Ethical/l	egal issues	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
10. Assessi	ment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
11. Broad t	heoretical knowledge	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	ion of theory, research, &	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
13. Profess	ional identity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
14. Profess	ional research and writing	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
15. Program	n evaluation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	ation skills	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
17. Human	development	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
18. Knowle	dge of current health care	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	•	OTHER							
19. Particip	ation in service to the	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	unity outreach and education	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
21. Supervi	sion A	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
	g to teach	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
23. Other (1	please list below)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
a		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
b		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA
you	evaluation of the training	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	NA

Using the nnhx(1-18) from the list above, please indicate the three training areas that were the most valuable to you and up to three areas in which you wish that you had received more training.

Most valuable:	Wish I had received more training:
1	
2	
3	

2. \_\_\_\_

## IX. Student-Faculty Relations

Listed below are a variety of items describing relations between students and faculty. Using the scale below, please circle the number that represents your experience with the faculty during your training at Sacramento State University.

	Very Poor						Excelle nt
1. Advising	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Respect for diversity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3. Modeling the value of diversity an	as 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4. Encouraging the integration of multicultural perspectives and skills	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5. Respect for personal/professional	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6. Assistance in practicum/job placement	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7. Availability to students	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8. Invested in my academic/personal	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9. Other (please list below)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
a	- 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
b	- 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
c	- 1	2	3	4	5	6	7

## X. Suggestions

What were the best things about your classes/degree program at CSUS?

What changes would you suggest in the classes/degree program at CSUS?